



TITLE:

Mass formula for Jacobi weight enumerators  
of type II binary codes and some  
relationships of it with Jacobi forms  
(Analytic Number Theory and Surrounding  
Areas)

AUTHOR(S):

Ozeki, Michio

---

CITATION:

Ozeki, Michio. Mass formula for Jacobi weight enumerators of type II binary codes and some relationships of it with Jacobi forms (Analytic Number Theory and Surrounding Areas). 数理解析研究所講究録 2004, 1384: 1-9

ISSUE DATE:

2004-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/25724>

RIGHT:

# Mass formula for Jacobi weight enumerators of type II binary codes and some relationships of it with Jacobi forms

Michio Ozeki

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science  
Yamagata University

29. Sep. 2003

## 1 Definitions from binary linear codes

### 1.1 Binary codes

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2 = GF(2)$  be the field of 2 elements. Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be the vector space of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . A linear  $[n, k]$  code  $C$  is a vector subspace of  $V$  of dimension  $k$ . An element  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $C$  is called a codeword of  $C$ . The inner product on  $V$ , which is denoted by  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$  for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  in  $V$ , is defined as usual. Two codes  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are said to be equivalent if and only if after a suitable change of coordinate positions of  $C_1$  all the codewords in both codes coincide.

Let  $C$  be a binary code of length  $n$ . An automorphism  $\sigma$  of the code  $C$  is an element of the permutation group of  $n$  letters  $S_n$  which leaves  $C$  invariant. All automorphisms of the code  $C$  form a group and it is denoted by  $Aut(C)$ .

The dual code  $C^\perp$  of  $C$  is defined by

$$C^\perp = \{\mathbf{u} \in V \mid \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in C\}.$$

The code  $C$  is called self-orthogonal if it satisfies  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ , and the code  $C$  is called self-dual if it satisfies  $C = C^\perp$ . Self-dual codes exist only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . For even  $n$  we let  $S_n$  denote the set of all self-dual binary codes of length  $n$ . Let

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

be a vector in  $V$ , then the Hamming weight  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is defined to be the number of  $i$ 's such that  $x_i \neq 0$ . The Hamming distance  $d$  on  $V$  is also defined by  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = wt(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ . Let  $C$  be a code, then  $d$  of the code  $C$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq 0} wt(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C$  be a self-dual binary code, then the weight  $wt(\mathbf{x})$  of each codeword  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $C$  is even. Further, if the weight of each codeword  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $C$  is divisible by 4, then the code is called doubly even. It is known that a doubly even self-dual binary codes  $C$  exist only when the length  $n$  of  $C$  is a multiple of 8. In short a doubly even self-dual binary code is type II binary code.

Let  $C$  be a self-dual doubly even code of length  $n$ , which are embedded in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Let  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n), \mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  be any pair of vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , then the number of common 1's of the corresponding coordinates for  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v}$ . This is called the intersection number of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{u}$  is nothing else  $wt(\mathbf{u})$ .

Let  $C$  be a type II binary  $[n, \frac{n}{2}]$  code. The homogeneous weight enumerator  $W_C(x, y)$  of the code  $C$  is defined by

$$W_C(x, y) = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in C} x^{n-wt(\mathbf{v})} y^{wt(\mathbf{v})}$$

Following identity is known as the MacWilliams identity:

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}} W_C(x+y, x-y) \\ &= W_C\left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Since  $C$  is doubly even, each codeword  $u$  of  $C$  has weight divisible by 4, and we know that

$$W_C(x, iy) = W_C(x, y). \quad (2)$$

Let  $G_1$  be the group generated by

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above two equations (1) and (2) show that the homogeneous weight enumerator of a type II binary code is invariant under linear action of the elements of the group  $G_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  be the polynomial ring over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . We let  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{G_1}$  to denote the subring of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  consisting of all elements in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  invariant under linear action of  $G_1$ . The following theorem is due to A. Gleason [9]

**Theorem 1.1** *It holds that*

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{G_1} = \mathbb{C}[W_{e_8}(x, y), W_{gol_{24}}(x, y)],$$

where  $W_{e_8}(x, y)$  is the weight enumerator of the extended Hamming code of length 8, and  $W_{gol_{24}}(x, y)$  is the weight enumerator of the binary Golay code of length 24.

Let  $H_1$  be a subgroup of  $G_1$  generated by  $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_1$ . This subgroup is of index 2 in  $G_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{H_1}$  be the ring of invariants for  $H_1$ . Then it is known that (see for instance [19])

**Theorem 1.2** *It holds that*

$$\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{H_1} = \mathbb{C}[W_{e_8}(x, y), E_{12}(x, y)],$$

where  $E_{12}(x, y) = x^{12} - 33x^8y^4 - 33x^4y^8 + y^{12}$ .

## 1.2 Jacobi weight enumerator

**Definition: Jacobi polynomials for binary codes**

Jacobi polynomial  $Jac(C, \mathbf{v} \mid X, Z)$  for  $C$  with respect to  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is defined by

$$Jac(C, \mathbf{v} \mid X, Z) = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in C} X^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}} Z^{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}.$$

The homogeneous form of  $Jac(C, \mathbf{v} \mid X, Z)$  is given by

$$Jac(C, \mathbf{v}; x, y, u, v) = \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in C} x^{n - wt(\mathbf{v}) - wt(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}} y^{wt(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}} u^{wt(\mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}} v^{\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}}.$$

**Theorem 1.3** *Let the notations be as above, then we have*

$$Jac(C, \mathbf{v}; x', y', u', v') = Jac(C, \mathbf{v}; x, y, u, v), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

It may be remarked here that it holds

$$Jac(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{v}; x, iy, u, iv) = Jac(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{v}; x, y, u, v) \quad (4)$$

Let  $G_1 \oplus G_1$  be the group generated by  $diag(\sigma_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $diag(\sigma_2, \sigma_2)$ , and  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]$  be the polynomial ring in 4 independent variables over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We let  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]^{G_1 \oplus G_1}$  to denote the subring of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]$  invariant under the linear action of each element of  $G_1 \oplus G_1$ . The above equations (3) and (4) implies that  $Jac(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{v}; x, y, u, v)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]^{G_1 \oplus G_1}$ . We have a Gleason type result for  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]^{G_1 \oplus G_1}$  ([4]).

Let  $H_1 \oplus H_1$  be the group generated by  $diag(\sigma_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $diag(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1, \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)$ , and  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]^{H_1 \oplus H_1}$  be the ring of invariants for the group  $H_1 \oplus H_1$ . We also have a Gleason type result for  $R$ . Here we briefly describe the result. When a polynomial  $f(x, y, u, v)$  of total degree  $n$  belongs to  $R$  we call the partial degree of  $f$  with respect to the variables  $u$  and  $v$  the index of  $f$ . The Molien series for  $H_1 \oplus H_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{H_1 \oplus H_1}(t) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(FJac_n) t^n \\ &= \frac{1 + 8t^8 + 18t^{12} + 21t^{16} + 19t^{20} + 21t^{24} + 7t^{28} + t^{32}}{(1 - t^8)^2(1 - t^{12})^2} \\ &= 1 + 10t^8 + 20t^{12} + 40t^{16} + 75t^{20} + 130t^{24} + 179t^{28} + 283t^{32} + \\ &\quad 383t^{36} + 513t^{40} + 678t^{44} + 883t^{48} + 1078t^{52} + 1372t^{56} + \\ &\quad + 1658t^{60} + 1994t^{64} + 2385t^{68} + 2836t^{72} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We decompose this ring  $R$  into a direct sum :

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n,$$

where  $R_n$  is the  $n$ -th homogeneous part of  $R$ . Further we decompose  $R_n$  as

$$R_n = \bigoplus_{0 \leq m \leq n} R_{n,m},$$

where  $R_{n,m}$  is the set of polynomials  $f(x, y, u, v) \in R_n$  with partial degree with respect to  $u$  and  $v$  equal to  $m$ . This set  $R_{n,m}$  forms a vector subspace of  $R$ .

## 2 Jacobi forms

### 2.1 Definition of Jacobi forms

Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the complex upper half plane and  $\tau$  be a variable on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane and  $z$  be a variable on  $\mathbb{C}$ . A complex valued holomorphic function  $\phi(\tau, z)$  defined on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$  is called a Jacobi form of weight  $k$  and index  $h$  with respect to the pair  $(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  if it satisfies the conditions (5), (6) and (7) below:

$$\phi(\tau, z) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^{2\pi i h \left( \frac{-cz^2}{c\tau + d} \right)} \phi \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d} \right) \text{ holds for } \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \quad (5)$$

$$\phi(\tau, z) = e^{2\pi i h(\lambda^2 \tau + 2\lambda z)} \phi(\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu) \text{ for } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (6)$$

$\phi(\tau, z)$  has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \geq r^2/4h} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r \quad (7)$$

## 2.2 Eisenstein Jacobi forms

One major construction method of Jacobi forms is Eisenstein Jacobi forms (c.f. [8], pages 17-18).

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{k,m}(\tau, z) &= \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (c,d)=1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} e^m \left( \lambda^2 \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} + 2\lambda \frac{z}{c\tau + d} - \frac{cz^2}{c\tau + d} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n,r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4nm \geq r^2}} e_{k,m}(n, r) q^n \zeta^r
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b$  are chosen so that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## 3 Massformula for Jacobi weight enumerators

### 3.1 Mass formula for ordinary weight enumerators

For  $1 \leq h < \frac{n}{2}$  let  $C_0$  be a binary self-orthogonal code of length  $n$  and dimension  $h$  containing all one vector  $1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . We denote by

$$\nu(n, h) = \#\{C \in \mathcal{D}_n \mid C \supset C_0\}.$$

This is independent of the choice of  $C_0$ .

We recall that  $\mathcal{S}_n$  is the set of all binary self-dual codes of length  $n$  for each even integer  $n$ . We denote by

$$\mu(n, h) = \#\{C \in \mathcal{S}_n \mid C \supset C_0\}.$$

We quote a well-known result

**Proposition 3.1** ([20]) *It holds that*

$$\nu(n, h) = \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-h-1} (2^j + 1).$$

**Proposition 3.2** ([20]) *For  $h$  with  $1 \leq h < \frac{n}{2}$  it holds that*

$$\mu(n, h) = \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-h} (2^j + 1).$$

J.G. Thompson [20] proved that

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}_n} W_1(x, y; C) = \nu(n, 1)(x^n + y^n) + \nu(n, 2) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j < n \\ 4 \mid j}} \binom{n}{j} x^{n-j} y^j.$$

If we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_1^{(n)}(x, y) &= \sum_{4 \mid j} \binom{n}{j} x^{n-j} y^j \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} ((x+y)^n + (x-y)^n + (x+iy)^n + (x-iy)^n),
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{D}_n} W_1(x, y; C) = \nu(n, 2)(2^{n/2-2}(x^n + y^n) + W_1^{(n)}(x, y)).$$

Recall that the root system  $D_4$  consists of the 24 roots listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm\sqrt{2}e_j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, 4), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1). \end{aligned}$$

We imbed these vectors into  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} i^k\sqrt{2}e_j \quad (j = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2, 3), \\ \zeta^j e_1 + \zeta^k e_2 \quad (j, k = 1, 3, 5, 7), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta = e^{\pi i/4}$ . Now, let  $D_4$  denote the set of 24 vectors above. If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in D_4} (\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y)^n &= 2^{n/2+2}(x^n + y^n) + \sum_{j,k=1,3,5,7} (\zeta^j x + \zeta^k y)^n \\ &= 2^{n/2+2}(x^n + y^n) + (-1)^{n/4} \sum_{j,k=0,2,4,6} (\zeta^j x + \zeta^k y)^n \\ &= 16(2^{n/2-2}(x^n + y^n) + (-1)^{n/4} W_n^{(1)}(x, y)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

### 3.2 A Theorem

Using the notation introduced in the previous section, the mass formula for the Jacobi weight enumerator polynomial can easily be established. The Jacobi weight enumerator polynomial for a code  $C$  with respect to a reference vector  $u$  is defined by

$$Jac(C, u; x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}) = \sum_{v \in C} X(u, v).$$

Denote by  $\overline{Jac}_{n,k}$  the sum of the Jacobi weight enumerator polynomial with respect to a fixed reference vector of weight  $k$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . Note that  $\overline{Jac}_{n,k}$  is independent of the choice of  $u$ . We prove

**Theorem 3.3** (Munemasa-Ozeki [13])

$$\overline{Jac}_{n,k} = \frac{1}{16} \nu(n, 2) \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D_4} (\alpha_1 x_{00} + \alpha_2 x_{01})^{n-k} (\alpha_1 x_{10} + \alpha_2 x_{11})^k. \quad (9)$$

## 4 An application of the mass formula to the construction of Jacobi forms

### 4.1 Some instances

If we apply the so called Bannai-Ozeki map (c.f. [2]) to the right hand side of (9), we obtain many important Jacobi forms of weight  $n/2$  and index  $k$ . As the mass formula the both hands are meaningful only when  $n$  is divisible by 8. However the polynomials in the right hand side are useful even if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  in constructing Jacobi forms. Here we give few instances of the construction.

To do this we recall Jacobi's theta functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{\pi n^2 \tau + 2n\pi iz}, \\ \theta_2(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi(n+1/2)^2 \tau + (2n+1)\pi iz}, \\ \theta_3(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi n^2 \tau + 2n\pi iz}. \end{aligned}$$

We put  $\varphi_i(\tau, z) = \theta(2\tau, 2z)$ , and  $\varphi_i(\tau) = \varphi_i(\tau, 0)$  ( $i = 2, 3$ ).

When  $n = 8$  and  $k = 1$  the right hand side of (9) becomes 30 times of

$$7y^4x^3u + 7x^4y^3v + y^7v + x^7u.$$

Substituting  $x = \varphi_2(\tau)$ ,  $y = \varphi_3(\tau)$ ,  $u = \varphi_2(\tau, z)$ ,  $v = \varphi_3(\tau, z)$  in this polynomial we get a Jacobi form of weight 4 and index 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{4,1} = & 1 + (\zeta^2 + 56\zeta + 56\zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2} + 126)q \\ & + (126\zeta^2 + 576\zeta + 576\zeta^{-1} + 126\zeta^{-2} + 756)q^2 \\ & + (56\zeta^3 + 756\zeta^2 + 1512\zeta + 1512\zeta^{-1} + 756\zeta^{-2} + 56\zeta^{-3} + 2072)q^3 \\ & + (\zeta^4 + 576\zeta^3 + 2072\zeta^2 + 4032\zeta + 4032\zeta^{-1} + 2072\zeta^{-2} + 576\zeta^{-3} + \zeta^{-4} + 4158)q^4 \\ & (126\zeta^4 + 1512\zeta^3 + 4158\zeta^2 + 5544\zeta + 5544\zeta^{-1} + 4158\zeta^{-2} + 1512\zeta^{-3} + 126\zeta^{-4} + 7560)q^5 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 8$  and  $k = 2$  the right hand side of (9) becomes 30 times of

$$3x^4y^2v^2 + 8x^3y^3uv + 3x^2y^4u^2 + x^6u^2 + y^6v^2.$$

The last polynomial leads to a Jacobi form of weight 4 and index 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{4,2} = & 1 + [14(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + 64(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 84]q + \\ & + [\zeta^4 + \zeta^{-4} + 64(\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3}) + 280(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + 448(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 574]q^2 \\ & + (84\zeta^4 + 448\zeta^3 + 840\zeta^2 + 1344\zeta + 1344\zeta^{-1} + 840\zeta^{-2} + 448\zeta^{-3} + 84\zeta^{-4} + 1288)q^3 \\ & + [64(\zeta^5 + \zeta^{-5}) + 574(\zeta^4 + \zeta^{-4}) + 1344(\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3}) + 2368(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + 2688(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 3444]q^4 \\ & + [14(\zeta^6 + \zeta^{-6}) + 448(\zeta^5 + \zeta^{-5}) + 1288(\zeta^4 + \zeta^{-4}) + 2688(\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3}) \\ & + 3542(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) + 4928(\zeta + \zeta^{-1}) + 4424]q^5 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 12$  and  $k = 1$  the right hand side of (9) is a polynomial that is 4050 times of

$$-22x^4y^7v - 11x^8y^3v - 11y^8x^3u - 22y^4x^7u + x^{11}u + y^{11}v.$$

This leads to a Jacobi form of weight 6 and index 1

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{6,1} = & 1 + (\zeta^2 - 88\zeta - 88\zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2} - 330)q \\ & + (-330\zeta^2 - 4224\zeta - 4224\zeta^{-1} - 330\zeta^{-2} - 7524)q^2 \\ & + (-88\zeta^3 - 7524\zeta^2 - 30600\zeta - 30600\zeta^{-1} - 7524\zeta^{-2} - 88\zeta^{-3} - 46552)q^3 \\ & + (\zeta^4 - 4224\zeta^3 - 46552\zeta^2 - 130944\zeta \\ & - 130944\zeta^{-1} - 46552\zeta^{-2} - 4224\zeta^{-3} + \zeta^{-4} - 169290)q^4 \\ & (-330\zeta^4 - 30600\zeta^3 - 169290\zeta^2 - 355080\zeta \\ & - 355080\zeta^{-1} - 169290\zeta^{-2} - 30600\zeta^{-3} - 330\zeta^{-4} - 464904)q^5 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

When  $n = 12$  and  $k = 2$  the right hand side of (9) is 4050 times of the polynomial

$$-14y^4x^6u^2 - 14y^6x^4v^2 - 3y^2x^8v^2 - 3y^8x^2u^2 + x^{10}u^2 + y^{10}v^2 - 16y^3x^7uv - 16y^7x^3uv.$$

This leads to a Jacobi form of weight 6 and index 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{6,2} = & 1 + (-10\zeta^2 - 128\zeta - 128\zeta^{-1} - 10\zeta^{-2} - 228)q \\
& + (\zeta^4 - 128\zeta^3 - 1496\zeta^2 - 3968\zeta \\
& - 3968\zeta^{-1} - 1496\zeta^{-2} - 128\zeta^{-3} + \zeta^{-4} - 5450)q^2 \\
& + (-228\zeta^4 - 3968\zeta^3 - 14088\zeta^2 - 27264\zeta \\
& - 27264\zeta^{-1} - 14088\zeta^{-2} - 3968\zeta^{-3} - 228\zeta^{-4} - 31880)q^3 \\
& + (-128\zeta^5 - 5450\zeta^4 - 27264\zeta^3 - 67712\zeta^2 - 103680\zeta \\
& - 103680\zeta^{-1} - 67712\zeta^{-2} - 27264\zeta^{-3} - 5450\zeta^{-4} - 128\zeta^{-5} - 124260)q^4 \\
& + (-10\zeta^6 - 3968\zeta^5 - 31880\zeta^4 - 103680\zeta^3 - 197650\zeta^2 - 292480\zeta \\
& - 292480\zeta^{-1} - 197650\zeta^{-2} - 103680\zeta^{-3} - 31880\zeta^{-4} - 3968\zeta^{-5} - 10\zeta^{-6} - 316168)q^5 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain an infinite family of Jacobi forms of various weights and various indices.

## 4.2 A comparison of two constructions

In [8] only the values  $e_{k,m}(n,r)$  ( $k \leq 8, m = 1$ ) of the Fourier coefficients of  $E_{k,m}(\tau, z)$  are given explicitly.

Here we explain a method to compute  $e_{k,m}(n,r)$  for any even  $k$  and  $m \geq 1$ . For this we start from the formula given in [8] page 22:

$$e_{k,m}(n,r) = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(m)^{-1}}{\zeta(3-2k)} \sum_{d|(n,r,m)} d^{k-1} H(k-1, \frac{4nm-r^2}{d^2}),$$

and

$$e_{k,1}(n,r) = \frac{H(k-1, 4n-r^2)}{\zeta(3-2k)},$$

where  $\zeta(3-2k)$  is the special value of Riemann's zeta function. The quantity  $H(k-1, N)$  is described at page 30 in [8]:

$$H(k-1, N) = \begin{cases} L_{-N}(2-k) & \text{if } N > 0 \text{ and } N \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \zeta(3-2k) & \text{if } N = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } N > 0 \text{ and } N \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

When  $-N \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$  we put  $-N = (-N_0)u^2$   $u \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $-N_0$  is the discriminant of the quadratic number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-N})$ . The number  $L_{-N}(2-k)$  comes from the L-function  $L_{-N_0}(s)$  by way of

$$L_{-N}(s) = L_{-N_0}(s) \sum_{d|u} \mu(d) \left( \frac{-N_0}{d} \right) d^{-s} \sigma_{1-2s} \left( \frac{u}{d} \right),$$

and

$$L_{-N_0}(s) = L(s, \left( \frac{-N_0}{*} \right)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left( \frac{-N_0}{d} \right)}{n^s}.$$

To make the value  $e_{k,1}(n,r)$  explicit it is necessary to know the values  $\zeta(3-2k)$  and  $L_{-N_0}(1-m)$ . As to the values  $\zeta(3-2k)$  there are many literature available and they tell us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(2k) &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1} (2\pi)^{2k}}{2} B_{2k} \quad (k \geq 1) \\
\zeta(1-n) &= (-1)^{n-1} \frac{B_n}{n} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_n$  is the  $n$ -th Bernoulli number. The beginning few numbers are

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, B_8 = -\frac{1}{30}, \dots, B_{\text{odd} \geq 3} = 0.$$



By these one gets

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}, \zeta(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{945}, \zeta(8) = \frac{\pi^8}{9450}, \zeta(10) = \frac{\pi^{10}}{93555}, \dots$$

$$\zeta(-1) = -\frac{1}{12}, \zeta(-2) = 0, \zeta(-3) = \frac{1}{120}, \zeta(-5) = -\frac{1}{252}, \zeta(-7) = \frac{1}{240}, \zeta(-9) = -\frac{1}{132}, \dots$$

It is much complicated to get the values  $L_{-N_0}(1-m)$ . On reading the book [1] we find a suitable formula to do this task. Note that a similar formula has been given in [11] Chapter XIII in a not straight way.

**Theorem 4.1** (Arakawa-Ibukiyama-Kaneko) *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character mod  $f$  and  $m$  be a positive integer, then*

$$L(1-m, \chi) = -\frac{B_{m,\chi}}{m},$$

where  $B_{m,\chi}$  is the generalized Bernoulli number associated with  $\chi$ :

$$B_{m,\chi} = f^{m-1} \sum_{a=1}^f \chi(a) B_m\left(\frac{a}{f}\right),$$

and  $B_m(x)$  is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree  $m$ .

The Bernoulli polynomials are given by

$$B_m(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} B_j x^{m-j}.$$

With the above Theorem we compute  $e_{k,m}(n,r)$ , and we give small tables of them, that are not contained in [8].

$4n-r^2$	0	3	4	7	8	11	12	15
$e_{10,1}(n,r)$	1	$-\frac{860776}{43867}$	$-\frac{9947070}{43867}$	$-\frac{1159757568}{43867}$	$-\frac{3601586268}{43867}$	$-\frac{53854227000}{43867}$	$-\frac{113044851304}{43867}$	$-\frac{754799931648}{43867}$
$e_{12,1}(n,r)$	1	$\frac{339848}{77683}$	$\frac{6971898}{77683}$	$\frac{2485779648}{77683}$	$\frac{10096500348}{77683}$	$\frac{285849348696}{77683}$	$\frac{713061257096}{77683}$	$\frac{7428376170816}{77683}$

$4n-r^2$	16	19	20
$e_{10,1}(n,r)$	$-\frac{1303792306110}{43867}$	$-\frac{5607166776120}{43867}$	$-\frac{8689286943288}{43867}$
$e_{12,1}(n,r)$	$\frac{14621136806394}{77683}$	$\frac{88801830903192}{77683}$	$\frac{152244273101400}{77683}$

$8n-r^2$	0	4	7	8	12	15	16	20	23	24	28	31	32
$e_{4,2}(n,r)$	1	14	64	84	280	448	574	840	1344	1288	2368	2688	3444
$e_{6,2}(n,r)$	1	-10	-128	-228	-1496	-3968	-5450	-14088	-27264	-31880	-67712	-103680	-124260
$e_{8,2}(n,r)$	1	$\frac{122}{43}$	$\frac{4872}{43}$	$\frac{11052}{43}$	$\frac{3640}{43}$	$\frac{862464}{43}$	$\frac{1015162}{43}$	$\frac{4266360}{43}$	$\frac{10665792}{43}$	$\frac{13948984}{43}$	$\frac{38576704}{43}$	$\frac{74169984}{43}$	$\frac{91963692}{43}$

We remark that the functions  $\psi_{4,1}, \psi_{6,1}, \psi_{8,1}$  respectively coincide with Eisenstein-Jacobi forms  $E_{4,1}, E_{6,1}, E_{8,1}$  respectively of index 1 described in [8] pages 17-23. Explicit Fourier expansions of Eisenstein-Jacobi forms of index  $\geq 2$  are not given in [8]. We have verified that  $\psi_{4,2}, \psi_{6,2}$  also coincide with Jacobi-Eisenstein series of index 2. This is done by using the relation (7) in [8], page 22. Besides these exceptional cases Eisenstein-Jacobi form  $E_{k,m}$  differ from  $\psi_{k,m}$ . One may be interested with a problem to explore the further relations between these two constructions of Jacobi forms.

## 5 Eisenstein type polynomials in more variables

$$E_{k_1, k_2}(x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}, y_{10}, y_{11}) = \frac{1}{16} \nu(n, 2) \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D_4} (\alpha_1 x_{00} + \alpha_2 x_{01})^{n-k_1-k_2} (\alpha_1 x_{10} + \alpha_2 x_{11})^{k_1} (\alpha_1 y_{10} + \alpha_2 y_{11})^{k_2}, \quad (10)$$

$$E_{k_1, k_2, k_3}(x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}, y_{10}, y_{11}, z_{10}, z_{11}) = \frac{1}{16} \nu(n, 2) \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in D_4} (\alpha_1 x_{00} + \alpha_2 x_{01})^{n-k_1-k_2-k_3} (\alpha_1 x_{10} + \alpha_2 x_{11})^{k_1} (\alpha_1 y_{10} + \alpha_2 y_{11})^{k_2} (\alpha_1 z_{10} + \alpha_2 z_{11})^{k_3} \quad (11)$$

⋮

where all exponents are non negative integers.

The right-hand side of (10) belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}, y_{10}, y_{11}]^{H_1 \oplus H_1 \oplus H_1}$ , and the right-hand side of (11) belongs to  $\mathbb{C}[x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}, y_{10}, y_{11}, z_{10}, z_{11}]^{H_1 \oplus H_1 \oplus H_1 \oplus H_1}$ . As discussed in [2] these polynomials contribute to the construction of Jacobi forms.

## References

- [1] T. Arakawa, T. Ibukiyama, and M. Kaneko, Bernoulli numbers and zeta functions (In Japanese) Makino Shoten (a publisher) (2000)
- [2] E. Bannai, and M. Ozeki, Construction of Jacobi forms from certain combinatorial polynomials, Proc. Japan Academy Ser. A Vol. 72 (1996), 12 – 15
- [3] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, M. Ozeki and S. Teranishi, Rings of simultaneous invariants for the MacWilliams-Gleason group, European J. Comb. 20 (1999), 619 – 627
- [4] E. Bannai, M. Ozeki and K. Tanabe, A Gleason type theorem for the simultaneous invariants for the MacWilliams-Gleason group, in preparation
- [5] M. Broué et M. Enguehard, Polynôme des poids de certains codes et fonction thêta de certains réseaux, Ann. scien. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4<sup>e</sup> série, t.5 (1972), 157-181.
- [6] H. Cohen, Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters, Math. Ann. 217 (1975) 271-285
- [7] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, Springer-Verlag 1988. 3rd ed. 1998.
- [8] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, The Theory of Jacobi Forms, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [9] A. M. Gleason, Weight polynomials of self-dual codes and the MacWilliams identities, in Actes, Congrès International de Mathématiques, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 3 (1970), 211-215
- [10] W. Kohlen, Modular forms of half-integral weight on  $\Gamma_0(4)$ , Math. Ann. 248 (1980) 249-266
- [11] S. Lang, Introduction to Modular Forms, Springer-Verlag 1976.
- [12] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, "The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [13] A. Munemasa and M. Ozeki, Mass formula for various generalized weight enumerators of binary self-dual codes, Preprint 2003
- [14] G. Nebe, E.M. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, The invariants of the Clifford Groups, Designs Codes and Cryptography 24 (2001), 99 – 122
- [15] M. Ozeki, On the notion of Jacobi polynomials for codes, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 121 (1997), 15 – 30.
- [16] V. Pless and N.J.A. Sloane, On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, J. Comb. Th. Ser. A 18 (1975), 313 – 335.
- [17] E.M. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, Self-Dual Codes, in Handbook of Coding Theory V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman (Eds.), North-Holland 1998.
- [18] B. Runge, Codes and Siegel modular forms, Disc. Math. 148 (1995), 175 – 205.
- [19] G.C. Shephard and J.A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, Canad J. Math. 5 (1953), 364 – 383.
- [20] J.G. Thompson, Weighted averages associated to some codes, Scripta Math. 29 (1973), 449 – 452.